	H2AAABDCps	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
2	X	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	V •	14-cr-716 (VM)
5	BAKTASH AKASHA ABDALLA, IBRAHIM AKASHA ABDALLAH, GULAM HUSSEIN, and VIJAYGIRI GOSWAMI,	
7	Defendants.	
8	x	
9		New York, N.Y.
10		February 10, 2017 1:45 p.m.
12	Before:	
13	HON. VICTOR MARRERO	
14		District Judge
15		
16	APPEARANCES	
16 17	APPEARANCES PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the	
	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: MICHAEL D. LOCKARD, ESQ.	
17	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York	
17 18	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: MICHAEL D. LOCKARD, ESQ. EMIL J. BOVE III, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorneys JEFFREY G. PITTELL, ESQ.	
17 18 19	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: MICHAEL D. LOCKARD, ESQ. EMIL J. BOVE III, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorneys	
17 18 19 20	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: MICHAEL D. LOCKARD, ESQ. EMIL J. BOVE III, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorneys JEFFREY G. PITTELL, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Abdalla Maher & Pittell, LLP DAWN M. CARDI, ESQ.	
17 18 19 20 21	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: MICHAEL D. LOCKARD, ESQ. EMIL J. BOVE III, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorneys JEFFREY G. PITTELL, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Abdalla Maher & Pittell, LLP	
17 18 19 20 21 22	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: MICHAEL D. LOCKARD, ESQ. EMIL J. BOVE III, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorneys JEFFREY G. PITTELL, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Abdalla Maher & Pittell, LLP DAWN M. CARDI, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Abdallah	

H2AAABDCps

APPEARANCES (Cont'd) 1 2 FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK INC. Attorneys for Defendant 3 BY: PHILIP L. WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 4 DANIEL N. ARSHACK, ESQ. Attorney for Defendant Goswami 5 Arshack, Hajek & Lehrman PLLC 6 Also Present: Madhu Mishra 7 Urdu Interpreter 8 Beston Mwakalinga Swahili interpreter 9 10 (In open court) 11 THE COURT: This is a proceeding in the matter of United States v. Abdalla. It's Docket No. 14-cr-0716. Counsel 12 13 please enter your appearances for the record. 14 MR. LOCKARD: Good afternoon, your Honor. Michael 15 Lockard and Emil Bove for the government. MS. CARDI: Good afternoon, your Honor. Dawn Cardi 16 17 for Ibrahim Abdallah. 18 MR. PITTELL: Good afternoon, your Honor. Jeffrey 19 Pittell appearing for Akasha Abdalla, appearing in the jury 20 box. 21 MR. ARSHACK: Daniel Arshack, A-r-s-h-a-c-k, on behalf 22 of Vijay Goswami. 23 MR. WEINSTEIN: Phil Weinstein, Federal Defenders, for 24 Mr. Hussain. 25 THE COURT: This is the defendants' initial appearance

before the Court following their arraignment on the superseding indictment. Mr. Lockard, would you bring the Court up to date on where the matter stands insofar as the government's discovery obligation and schedule.

MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor. So as the Court noted, the defendants were each presented and arraigned before the magistrate judge on January 31. We have provided defense counsel with proposed stipulated protective orders and draft translation stipulations governing the discovery in this matter and have received executed copies of those as of today from, I believe, three of defense counsel.

We have also advised that the discovery in this matter consists principally of electronic data recordings, the largest component of that totaling slightly above 50 gigabytes of data. We have also received --

THE COURT: Mr. Lockard, let me stop you for a moment. I just noted one thing, matter of housekeeping, which this Court usually takes care of. I note that one or more of the defendants may be following this proceeding with assistance of interpretation. It is the practice of this Court to confirm on the record the identity of the interpreter and that the interpreter is either on the staff of the court or certified.

URDU INTERPRETER: Madhu Mishra, official Urdu interpreter.

SWAHILI INTERPRETER: Beston Mwakalinga, interpreter

for the Court.

2

3

-

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

1920

21

22

23

2425

THE COURT: Through the interpreter, I would like to confirm that the defendant or defendants are in fact following the proceeding and will be able to understand it fully with the assistance of this interpretation?

URDU INTERPRETER: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Lockard, you may proceed.

MR. LOCKARD: Thank you, your Honor.

So the discovery in this matter consists principally of electronic data, totaling slightly above 50 gigabytes of data. We have received flash drives of a sufficient capacity, I believe, from approximately three defense counsel. We anticipate copying the discovery to those flash drives and being able to produce it within the next two weeks.

THE COURT: All right. And what is the government's relation with regards to a follow-up conference? When do you think the government will be ready?

MR. LOCKARD: I think that is a question that is largely driven by defense counsel's ability to review the discovery and be prepared to address issues that they may want to raise at the next conference.

To give the Court a sense of what that will involve, as I noted, this investigation generated large volumes of audio and video recordings. Those recordings are in multiple

MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, I have conferred among my fellow defense counsel, and given the foreign language and the need for us to go -- and everybody is incarcerated -- I think that we would request the end of April for the next conference.

THE COURT: All right. Let's look for a day end of April.

THE CLERK: April 21, 11:30. Does that work for everyone?

MR. WEINSTEIN: I think -- a second --

MR. ARSHACK: Your Honor, do you exclusively schedule on Fridays?

THE COURT: Yes.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. ARSHACK: In which case that's fine with me.

1 MR. WEINSTEIN: April 21st is fine.

MS. CARDI: That's fine, your Honor, 11:30.

THE COURT: Mr. Lockard, is there a motion on that schedule?

MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor. The government would request exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act until April 21st, 2017 so defense counsel and their clients can review discovery that the government is in the process of producing and can consider possible motions or other pretrial issues in connection with that review of discovery.

THE COURT: All right. Any opposition objections to the government's motion?

MR. ARSHACK: No, your Honor.

MS. CARDI: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Hearing none, on the government's motion,

I am excluding adjourned time from Speedy Trial allocations

from today through April 21. No objections recorded by

defendants. The motion is granted. I find that the reasons

conveyed to the Court warrant exclusion of time, as it is

intended to ensure the effectiveness of counsel and prevent any

miscarriage of justice.

The Court is satisfied that the ends of justice served by the granting of this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a Speedy Trial. This order of exclusion of time is entered pursuant to the provisions of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(2) and (4).

If there is nothing else, I thank you. Have a good day.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Your Honor, I have a medical care application which was ordered in mag court. Mr. Hussain needs daily shots of insulin. He's diabetic. He also has an open wound on his foot from, I think, a toe amputation. He is getting sporadic shots of insulin, not every day, and my understanding is it's important that that be done on a regular And also, he has a festering wound which hasn't been cared for.

I mentioned it to the government to see if they could do anything. The magistrate judge has already ordered it. Ιf that doesn't work, I probably would write a letter to the Court.

All right. Thank you. Mr. Lockard, anything on this? MR. LOCKARD: Yes, your Honor. This is a matter that was also raised at the defendant's presentment and arraignment. We conveyed these medical issues to legal counsel for the Bureau of Prisons to ensure that the medical staff was alerted to them. And we will follow up to ensure that it is appropriately addressed. And I'm sure the Court will hear if it's not.

THE COURT: All right. I thank you. Have a good day.

1 MR. PITTELL: Judge, one matter. 2 THE COURT: Yes. I'm sorry. 3 MR. PITTELL: All four defendants have separation 4 orders amongst themselves. I speak on behalf of all of us. 5 We've addressed this issue with the government. They're going 6 to give it some consideration. I'm just letting the Court know 7 that in the event we cannot agree upon a resolution, we may write the Court on that matter and be back here. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 MR. ARSHACK: On behalf of Mr. Goswami, your Honor --11 and I've raised this issue as well with government's counsel --12 it's a minor issue, though not to Mr. Goswami: he needs reading 13 The commissary currently doesn't have them and glasses. 14 apparently it's going to take months and months to obtain them. 15 I have no contact with legal counsel --16 THE COURT: We agree to get them. 17 MR. ARSHACK: Exactly what I'm going to do. 18 going to deliver them to legal counsel at the MCC, and hopefully they'll get them to him promptly. If only I could 19 20 hand them to him, we would be in good shape. 21 THE COURT: Put it in the chit. 22 MR. ARSHACK: There you go. 23 THE COURT: Anything else? 24 MR. ARSHACK: No, that's all, Judge. Thank you. 25 000